

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. No. 134873 of 2022

IN

W.P. (C) No. 188 of 2004

In the Matter of :

M/S RAIGANJ CONSUMER FORUM

.... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.


.... Respondents

INDEX

| S.No. | Particulars                   | Pages No. |
|-------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| 1.    | Reply by the Committee – GFIL | 1 - 3     |
| 2.    | Affidavit in support          | 4 - 5     |
| 3     | Proof of service              | 6         |

New Delhi

Date: 17.08.2026

  
Saumya Datta,  
Advocate on record  
Counsel for Committee – GFIL  
(Appointed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. No. 134873 of 2022

IN

W.P. (C) No. 188 of 2004

In the Matter of :

M/S RAIGANJ CONSUMER FORUM

.... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.... Respondents

**REPLY BY THE COMMITTEE – GFIL (APPOINTED BY  
HON'BLE SUPREME COURT)**

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the present application is filed by Aditya Kumar Sinha s/o Hritesh Kumar Sinha R/o of H.No. 643 Sector 8 Panchkula, Haryana for impleadment in W.P.(c) of 188 of 2004.

After examining the application, it is found that the applicant has filed this application on behalf of promoter shareholders of Golden Project Limited, Golden Forest India Limited and its subsidiaries to highlight the anomalies and transgressions on the part of Income Tax Department while executing the mandate of this Hon'ble Court to sell the properties of Golden Forest Group.

2. That the applicant has neither filed any authority letter/ Power of attorney executed by any of the promoter shareholder of Golden Forest India Limited or Golden Project Limited or any of its subsidiary companies in his favour nor has he disclosed how he is related to these companies and got authority to file this application.

Therefore in the absence of the above this application deserves to be dismissed on account of lack of locus standi of the applicant.

3. That the objection of the applicant is particularly against the auction of one of the properties auctioned by Income Tax Department described as agricultural land measuring 578 K – 11 M equivalent to 72.31 acres in village Jaswantgarh, Panchkula, Haryana. The applicant has alleged that if the auction was conducted properly it would have fetched 2 to 3 time higher value.
4. That the present application has become in-fructuous as the auction conducted by the Income Tax Department was successfully completed, total consideration of Rs. 40 crore 61 lacs was deposited by the successful bidder with the Committee-GFIL, sale certificate was issued by Income Tax Department which was registered at no. 1216 on dated 12.7.2022 and thereafter possession was also delivered by the Committee-GFIL through Deputy Commissioner Panchkula by issuing warrant of possession. The execution of warrant of

possession. The execution of warrant of possession was reported by Tehsildar vide its report dated on 9.11.2022.

4. That therefore in view of the above the application may please be dismissed being in fructuous.

New Delhi  
Date: 17.08.2026

Filed by  
*Saumya Datta*

Saumya Datta,  
Advocate on record  
Counsel for Committee – GFIL  
(Appointed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. No. 134873 of 2022

IN

W.P. (C) No. 188 of 2004

In the Matter of :

M/S RAIGANJ CONSUMER FORUM

... Petitioner

Versus


UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.... Respondents


**AFFIDAVIT**

I, Shri Brij Mohan Bedi, S/o Shri Sadhu Ram Bedi, aged about 75 years, o/o Chairman Committee-GFIL, Flat No. 1065/1, Sector-39-B, Chandigarh, do hereby solemnly affirm and state as under:-

1. That I am one of the members of the Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. I am duly authorised and being fully competent and fully conversant with the facts and circumstances of the case, I am competent to swear this affidavit.
2. That I have read the contents of accompanying reply which has been prepared under my instructions.
3. That the contents of the accompanying reply are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and are derived from record of the case. Annexure are true copy of its original.



DEPONENT




**VERIFICATION:-**

I, the deponent above named, do hereby verify and state that the contents of paragraph 1 to 3 of the affidavit are true to my knowledge based on records of the case, no part of it is false and nothing material has been concealed there from.

Verified by me at on this the 17<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2026.

[Signature]  
DEPONENT



17 AUG 2026

**Attested**  
[Signature]  
**BABITA**  
Notary, Chandigarh (U.T.)

I identify the deponent / deponent executant  
has signed the document in my presence  
[Signature]  
Signature

The contents of the Affidavit / Document, has  
been explained to the deponent / executants.  
She has admitted the same to the correct  
The deponent / executant has signed Register.  
1832 155  
ii St No. 155, dated 17/8/26